arXiv:1611.07159v1 [hep-ph] 22 Nov 2016

Weak Quasielastic Production of Hyperons

M. Sajjad Athar, F. Akbar, M. Rafi Alam, S. Chauhan and S. K. Singh
Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202 002, India

We present the results for antineutrino induced quasielastic hyperon production from nucleon and nuclear targets [1, 2]. The inputs are the nucleon-hyperon(N–Y) transition form factors determined from the analysis of neutrino-nucleon scattering and semileptonic decays of neutron and hyperons using SU(3) symmetry. The calculations for the nuclear targets are done in local density approximation. The nuclear medium effects(NME) like Fermi motion, Pauli blocking and final state interaction(FSI) effects due to hyperon-nucleon scattering have been taken into account. The hyperons giving rise to pions through weak decays also contribute to the weak pion production in addition to the Δ excitation mechanism which dominates in the energy region of < 0.7 GeV. We also present the results of longitudinal and perpendicular components of polarization of final hyperon [3]. These measurements in the future accelerator experiments with antineutrinos may give some information on axial vector and pseudoscalar form factors in the strangeness sector.

PACS numbers: 14.20.Jn, 13.88.+e, 13.15.+g, 13.75.Ev, 25.30.Pt

I. INTRODUCTION

In the few GeV energy region, experiments using neutrino as well as antineutrino beams on nuclear targets are being performed to measure precisely some of the parameters of PMNS matrix, determination of CP violation in the leptonic sector, etc. (Anti)neutrino cross section in this energy region is difficult to simulate as the contribution to the cross section comes from various scattering processes like quasielastic, one pion and multi pion production, associated particle production, jet of hadrons production in the deep inelastic scattering region, etc. For quasielastic(QE) process induced by antineutrinos there is an additional QE channel where a lepton is produced from nucleon target through the reaction $\bar{\nu}_l + N \to l^+ + Y (Y = \Lambda,\ \Sigma)$ i.e. a hyperon is produced in the final state. This channel is not allowed in the neutrino sector due to $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ selection rule. This process although Cabibbo suppressed has lower energy threshold (0.19 GeV for $\bar{\nu}_e p \to e^+ \Lambda$ and 0.32 GeV for $\bar{\nu}_\mu p \to \mu^+ \Lambda$), in comparison to strangeness conserving associated particle production process (0.9 GeV for $\bar{\nu}_e p \to e^+ Y K$ and 1.1 GeV for $\bar{\nu}_\mu p \to \mu^+ Y K$). In the case of inelastic channels, the first reaction that is considered is 1π production(0.15 GeV for $\bar{\nu}_e p \to e^+ N\pi$ and 0.28 GeV for $\bar{\nu}_\mu p \to \mu^+ N\pi$), the cross section for which is studied by many authors. This channel very well dominates over all the other possible inelastic channels when free nucleon target is considered. However, when this channel is considered for bound nucleons, it has been found that due to renormalization of Δ properties in the nuclear medium and the final state interaction(FSI) of pions with the residual nucleus the cross section reduces considerably, and over all the reduction could be around 40-50% in the energy region of $E_{\bar{\nu}_l} < 1.2$GeV [4]. The hyperons produced in QE reaction also give rise to pions. The branching ratios are for $\Lambda \to p\pi^-(63.9\%),\ n\pi^0(35.8\%)$; $\Sigma^- \to n\pi^-(99.85\%)$; $\Sigma^0 \to \Lambda\gamma(100\%)$. In the case of hyperon production, nuclear medium effects(NMEs) like Fermi motion and Pauli blocking have little effect on modifying the cross section from free nucleon case. However, the final state interaction of hyperons in the nucleus through charge exchange hyperon nucleon scattering results in an enhancement in the Λ production($\sim$ 10% in ^{40}Ar), and reduction in the Σ production($\sim$ 15% in ^{40}Ar). The decay width of pionic modes of hyperons is highly suppressed in the nuclear medium, making the hyperons live long enough to pass through the nucleus before decaying into pions. Therefore, these pions are less affected by FSI of pions within the nuclear medium.

Experimentally, there is a possibility to study the polarization of hyperons at present facilities at Fermilab [5] and J-PARC [6] where high intensity beams of antineutrino are available. The experiments planned with liquid argon TPC (LArTPC) detectors at MicroBooNE [7] and the proposed SBND [8] and DUNE experiments [9] at Fermilab will be able to see charged hadrons in coincidence, thus making it possible to measure polarization of hyperons by measuring the asymmetry in the angular distribution of decaying pions, in addition to the cross section measurements being done at MINERνA [10]. It is, therefore, most appropriate time to theoretically perform the calculations for the polarization observables in the Standard Model using Cabibbo theory and/or quark models, using the present state of knowledge about the symmetry of weak hadronic currents and the properties of transition form factors associated with the matrix element between the hadronic states.

The study of single hyperon production($|\Delta S| = 1$) is interesting because

- they enable us to test the SU(3) symmetry in our understanding of strangeness changing weak processes.
- they provide an opportunity to measure N-Y transition form factors which are presently known only at low Q^2 from hyperon semileptonic decays(HSD).
- precise predictions of $\bar{\nu}$-A cross section in 0.3 GeV - 1 GeV energy region are possible.

- polarization observables, like longitudinal ($P_L(Q^2)$) and perpendicular ($P_P(Q^2)$) components of polarization may give information independent of the cross section measurements on the axial dipole mass M_A, electric neutron form factor $G_E^n(Q^2)$ and may be sensitive to pseudoscalar form factors.
- polarization observable $P_T(Q^2)$ in a direction perpendicular to the reaction plane can be used to make studies of T–violation in high energy weak interactions.

In this paper, we have discussed antineutrino induced quasielastic charged current hyperon production on free and bound nucleons. The N–Y transition form factors are determined from the experimental data on quasielastic ($\Delta S = 0$) charged current (anti)neutrino–nucleon scattering and the semileptonic decay of neutron and hyperons assuming G–invariance, T–invariance and SU(3) symmetry. The vector transition form factors are obtained in terms of nucleon electromagnetic form factors. A dipole parameterization for the axial vector form factor and the pseudoscalar transition form factor derived in terms of axial vector form factor assuming PCAC and GT relation extended to strangeness sector have been used in numerical evaluations. The nuclear medium effects(NME) due to Fermi motion and final state interaction(FSI) effect due to hyperon-nucleon scattering have been taken into account. Furthermore, we have also presented the results for longitudinal and perpendicular components of polarization of final hyperon(Λ,Σ) produced in the antineutrino induced quasielastic charged current reactions on nucleon targets. The details are given in Ref.[1–3].

II. QUASIELASTIC PRODUCTION OF HYPERONS

A. Formalism

We consider the following processes

$$\bar{\nu}_\mu(k) + N(p) \to \mu^+(k') + Y(p');\ N = p, n;\ Y = \Lambda,\ \Sigma^0, \Sigma^- \tag{1}$$

where $k(k')$ and $p(p')$ are the momenta of initial(final) lepton and nucleon. The differential scattering cross section is given by,

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{1}{4E_{\bar{\nu}}M}\delta^4(k+p-k'-p')\frac{d^3k'}{2E_{k'}}\frac{d^3p'}{2E_{p'}}\sum\overline{\sum}|\mathcal{M}|^2, \tag{2}$$

with

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\theta_c\left[\bar{v}(k')\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)v(k)\right]\ \ \left[\langle Y(p')|V_\mu - A_\mu|N(p)\rangle\right]. \tag{3}$$

where

$$\langle Y(p')|V_\mu|N(p)\rangle\ =\ \bar{u}_Y(p')\left[\gamma_\mu f_1^{NY}(q^2) + i\sigma_{\mu\nu}\frac{q^\nu}{M+M_Y}f_2^{NY}(q^2) + \frac{f_3^{NY}(q^2)}{M+M_Y}q_\mu\right]u_N(p), \tag{4}$$

$$\langle Y(p')|A_\mu|N(p)\rangle\ =\ \bar{u}_Y(p')\left[\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 g_1^{NY}(q^2) + i\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\frac{q^\nu}{M+M_Y}g_2^{NY}(q^2) + \frac{g_3^{NY}(q^2)}{M+M_Y}q_\mu\gamma_5\right]u_N(p). \tag{5}$$

The form factors $f_i^{NY}(q^2)$ and $g_i^{NY}(q^2)$ are determined using T–invariance, G–invariance, SU(3) symmetry i.e. symmetry properties of weak currents, CVC and PCAC hypothesis. These symmetry considerations yield [2]: $f_i^{NY}(q^2)$ and $g_i^{NY}(q^2)$ to be real and some relations between them i.e. ; $f_3^{NY}(q^2) = g_2^{NY}(q^2) = 0$; $g_3^{NY}(q^2) = \frac{m_\pi}{m_\pi^2 - q^2}g_1^{NY}(q^2)$; $f_{i=1,2}^{p\Sigma^0}\left(g_{i=1,2}^{p\Sigma^0}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ f_{i=1,2}^{n\Sigma^-}\left(g_{i=1,2}^{n\Sigma^-}\right)$.

The form factors, $f_{1,2}^{n\Sigma^-}(q^2) = (f_{1,2}^p(q^2) + 2f_{1,2}^n(q^2))$ and $f_{1,2}^{p\Lambda}(q^2) = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}f_{1,2}^p(q^2)$ respectively for transitions $n \to \Sigma^-$ and $p \to \Lambda$. Similarly, $g_1^{n\Sigma^-}(q^2)$ is $\frac{D-F}{D+F}g_A^{np}(q^2)$ for $n \to \Sigma^-$ and $-\frac{D+3F}{\sqrt{6}(D+F)}g_A^{np}(q^2)$ for $p \to \Lambda$ transitions. We have used $D = 0.804$, $F = 0.463$ and $g_A^{np}(q^2) = g_A^{np}(0)\left(1 - \frac{q^2}{M_A^2}\right)^{-2}$ with $g_A^{np}(0) = 1.267$ and $M_A = 1.02$GeV.

B. Nuclear Medium and Final State Interaction Effects

When these reactions take place on bound nucleons in nuclear medium, Fermi motion and Pauli blocking effects of nucleons are to be considered. In the final state after hyperons are produced, they may undergo strong interaction scattering processes through charge exchange($\Sigma^- p \to \Lambda n$, $\Lambda p \to \Sigma^+ n$, etc.) and inelastic ($\Lambda N \to \Sigma^0 N$, $YN \to Y'N'$)



FIG. 1: (color online). σ(per active nucleon) vs $E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}$ in ^{40}Ar(LHS) and ^{56}Fe(RHS), for QE hyperon production.



FIG. 2: Q^2 distribution for $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ induced reaction in ^{12}C averaged over the MiniBooNE flux [12] and for $\bar{\nu}_{e,\mu}$ induced reaction in ^{16}O averaged over the Super-Kamiokande flux [13] are shown with nuclear medium and FSI effects. The π^- production from hyperon excitations has been scaled by a factor of 2.5.

reactions and changing the relative yield of Σ^0, Σ^- and Λ produced in the initial reactions shown in Eq. 1. In a special case, Σ^+ will appear as a result of final state interaction which are initially not produced through $\bar{\nu}_\mu N \to \mu^+ N$ reaction due to $\Delta Q = \Delta S$ rule.

The nuclear medium effects are calculated in a relativistic Fermi Gas model using local density approximation and the nuclear cross section is written as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_l dE_l} = 2\int d^3r \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} n_N(p,r) \left[\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_l dE_l}\right]_{free}, \tag{6}$$

where $n_N(p,r)$ is local occupation number of the initial nucleon of momentum p and is 1 for $p < p_{F_N}$ and 0 otherwise with $p_{F_n} = [3\pi^2\rho_n(r)]^{1/3}$ for N = n, p. The final state interaction of hyperon-nucleon system is calculated in a Monte Carlo simulation approach. In this approach an initial hyperon produced at a position **r** within the nucleus which interacts with a nucleon to produce a new hyperon-nucleon state $f = Y_f N_f$, within a distance l with probability $P_Y\, dl$ where P_Y is the probability per unit length given by

$$P_Y = \sigma_{Y+n\to f}(E)\ \rho_n(r)\ +\ \sigma_{Y+p\to f}(E)\ \rho_p(r), \tag{7}$$

where $\rho_n(r)(\rho_p(r))$ is the local density of neutron(proton) in the nucleus and σ is the cross section for $YN \to f$ process. The details are given in Ref. [2].



FIG. 3: $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}$, $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ vs Q^2 for the process $\bar{\nu}_\mu p \to \mu^+ \Lambda$ at $E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu} = 1$ GeV for different values of M_A used in $g_1^{p\Lambda}(Q^2)$ viz. 1.026 GeV(solid line), 1.1 GeV(dashed), 1.2 GeV(dotted line) and 1.3 GeV(double dashed-dotted line) with $m_\mu = 0$.

C. Polarization of hyperons

Using the covariant density matrix formalism, polarization 4-vector(ξ^τ) of the final hyperon produced in Eq. (1) is written as:

$$\xi^\tau = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^\tau\gamma_5\ \rho_f(p')]}{\mathrm{Tr}[\rho_f(p')]}, \tag{8}$$

where the final spin density matrix $\rho_f(p')$ is given by

$$\rho_f(p') = \mathcal{L}^{\alpha\beta}\Lambda(p')J_\alpha\Lambda(p)\tilde{J}_\beta\Lambda(p'). \tag{9}$$

Using the following relations [11]

$$\Lambda(p')\gamma^\tau\gamma_5\Lambda(p') = 2M_Y\left(g^{\tau\sigma} - \frac{p'^\tau p'^\sigma}{M_Y^2}\right)\Lambda(p')\gamma_\sigma\gamma_5; \quad \Lambda(p')\Lambda(p') = 2M_Y\Lambda(p'),$$

ξ^τ defined in Eq. 8 may be rewritten as:

$$\xi^\tau = \left(g^{\tau\sigma} - \frac{p'^\tau p'^\sigma}{M_Y^2}\right)\frac{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha\beta}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\gamma_\sigma\gamma_5\Lambda(p')J_\alpha\Lambda(p)\tilde{J}_\beta\right]}{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha\beta}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Lambda(p')J_\alpha\Lambda(p)\tilde{J}_\beta\right]}. \tag{10}$$

Note that in Eq. 10, ξ^τ is manifestly orthogonal to p'^τ i.e. $p'\cdot\xi = 0$. Moreover, the denominator is directly related to the differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2} = \frac{G_F^2\sin^2\theta_c}{8\pi M E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}^2}\mathcal{N}(Q^2, E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}), \tag{11}$$

where the expression of $\mathcal{N}$ is given in the appendix of Ref. [3].

With $\mathcal{J}^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ obtained using hadronic and leptonic currents defined in Eq.3, ξ^τ is evaluated. In the lab frame where the initial nucleon is at rest, the polarization vector $\vec{\xi}$ is calculated to be

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}\vec{\xi} = \frac{G_F^2\sin^2\theta_c}{8\pi\ MM_Y E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}^2}\left[(\vec{k}+\vec{k}')M_Y\mathcal{A}(Q^2, E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}) + (\vec{k}-\vec{k}')\mathcal{B}(Q^2, E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu})\right], \tag{12}$$

where the expressions of $\mathcal{A}(Q^2, E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(Q^2, E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu})$ are given in the appendix of Ref. [3].

From Eq. 12, it follows that the polarization vector lies in scattering plane defined by $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{k}'$, and there is no component of polarization in the direction orthogonal to the scattering plane. This is a consequence of T–invariance which makes the transverse polarization in a direction perpendicular to the reaction plane to vanish. We now expand



FIG. 4: $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}$, $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ vs Q^2 at $E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}$= 1 GeV for $\bar{\nu}_\mu n \to \mu^+\Sigma^-$ process. The results are presented with the nucleon form factors using BBBA05 [16](solid line), Galster et al. [17] (dashed-dotted line), modified form of $G_E^n(Q^2)$ in Galster parameterization [18](dashed line) and modified form of $G_E^n(Q^2)$ in Kelly parameterization [19](double dashed-dotted line).



FIG. 5: $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}$, $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ vs Q^2 (M_A = 1.026 GeV) for the process $\bar{\nu}_\mu p \to \mu^+\Lambda$ at $E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}$ = 0.5 GeV using $f_1^{p\Lambda}(Q^2)$, $f_2^{p\Lambda}(Q^2)$, $g_1^{p\Lambda}(Q^2)$ from Table-I of Ref.[3], BBBA05 [16] parameterization for the nucleon form factors, with m_μ = 0 and $g_3^{p\Lambda}$ = 0(solid line), $m_\mu \neq 0$ and $g_3^{p\Lambda} \neq 0$ from Marshak et al. [14](dashed line) and $m_\mu \neq 0$ and $g_3^{p\Lambda} \neq 0$ from Nambu [15](dotted line).

the polarization vector $\vec{\xi}$ along two orthogonal directions, $\vec{e}_L$ and $\vec{e}_P$ in the reaction plane corresponding to parallel and perpendicular directions to the momentum of hyperons given by

$$\vec{e}_L = \frac{\vec{p'}}{|\vec{p'}|} = \frac{\vec{q}}{|\vec{q}|}, \qquad \vec{e}_P = \vec{e}_L \times \vec{e_T}, \qquad \vec{e_T} = \frac{\vec{k} \times \vec{k'}}{|\vec{k} \times \vec{k'}|}, \tag{13}$$

and write

$$\vec{\xi} = \xi_P \vec{e}_P + \xi_L \vec{e}_L, \qquad \xi_L(Q^2) = \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{e}_L, \qquad \xi_P(Q^2) = \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{e}_P. \tag{14}$$

From Eq. 14, the longitudinal and perpendicular components of polarization vector $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ defined in the rest frame of recoil nucleon are given by [11]:

$$P_L(Q^2) = \frac{M_Y}{E_{p'}} \xi_L(Q^2), \qquad P_P(Q^2) = \xi_P(Q^2), \tag{15}$$

where $\frac{M_Y}{E_{p'}}$ is the Lorentz boost factor along $\vec{p'}$. With the help of Eqs. 12, 13, 14 and 15, the longitudinal component $P_L(Q^2)$ is calculated to be

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2} P_L(Q^2) = \frac{G_F^2 \sin^2\theta_c}{8\pi |\vec{q}| E_{p'} M\ E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}^2} \left[\left(E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}^2 - E_\mu^2 + m_\mu^2 \right) M_Y \mathcal{A}(Q^2, E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}) + |\vec{q}|^2 \mathcal{B}(Q^2, E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}) \right], \tag{16}$$

where in the lab frame $E_{p'} = \sqrt{|\vec{q}^2| + M_Y^2}$. Similarly, the perpendicular component $P_P(Q^2)$ of the polarization 3-vector is given as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2} P_P(Q^2) = -\frac{G_F^2 \sin^2\theta_c}{4\pi} \frac{|\vec{k}'|}{|\vec{q}|} \frac{\mathcal{A}(Q^2, E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}) \sin\theta}{M E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}}, \tag{17}$$

where θ is the scattering angle in the lab frame.

D. Results

In Fig. 1, we present the results of $\sigma(E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu})$ vs $E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}$ for Λ and Σ^- productions in ^{40}Ar and ^{56}Fe. We find that nuclear medium effects due to Pauli blocking are very small. However, the final state interactions due to $\Sigma - N$ and $\Lambda - N$ interactions in various channels tend to increase the Λ production and decrease the Σ^- production. The quantitative increase(decrease) in $\Lambda(\Sigma)$ yield due to FSI increases with the increase in nucleon number. The Σ^- and Σ^0 production are separately affected and the relation $\sigma\left(\bar{\nu}_\mu + p \to \mu^+ + \Sigma^0\right) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma\left(\bar{\nu}_\mu + n \to \mu^+ + \Sigma^-\right)$ is modified in the nucleus due to the presence of other nucleons.

In Fig.2, we have presented the results for the Q^2 distribution averaged over the MiniBooNE [12] and Super-Kamiokande [13] spectra. We must point out that π^- production from hyperon excitations is scaled by 2.5. The results are presented for the differential cross sections calculated with nuclear medium and pion absorption effects for the pions obtained from the decay of Δ excitation. We observe that, in the peak region of Q^2 distribution, the contribution of π^- from the hyperon excitations is almost 40% to the contribution of π^- from the Δ excitation.

In Fig. 3, we present the results of $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}$, $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ for the reaction $\bar{\nu}_\mu p \to \mu^+\Lambda$ at $E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu} = 1$ GeV. We observe that while there is very little sensitivity of $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}$ to the variation of M_A, the components of polarization $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ are sensitive to the value of M_A specially in the region $Q^2 > 0.4$ GeV^2. It should, therefore, be possible to independently determine the value of M_A from the polarization measurements even though the presently available data on the total cross section for the single hyperon production are consistent with $M_A = 1.026$ GeV. At higher values of Q^2, the sensitivity of $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ to M_A increases, but quantitatively, the cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}$ decreases making the number of events quite small and the measurement of polarization observables becomes difficult.

We show in Fig. 4($E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu} = 1$ GeV), the dependence of $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}$, $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ on the different parameterization of $G_E^n(Q^2)$ for Σ^-. It should be possible to determine, in principle, the charge form factor of neutron from the observation of $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ using this process.

We have made an attempt to explore the possibility of determining the pseudoscalar form factor $g_3^{NY}(Q^2)$ in $|\Delta S| = 1$ sector by including two models for $g_3^{NY}(Q^2)$ based on PCAC and the corresponding Goldberger–Treiman relation in the strangeness sector using the parameterizations given by Marshak et al. [14] and Nambu [15], respectively. In Fig. 5, we show the effect of $g_3^{NY}(Q^2)$ on $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}$, $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ calculated for the processes $\bar{\nu}_\mu p \to \mu^+\Lambda$ at $E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}$=0.5 GeV.

III. CONCLUSION

- The reduction due to nuclear medium and FSI effects in the case of pions obtained from Δ excitation is large enough to compensate for Cabibbo suppression of pions produced through hyperon excitations up to $E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu} < 0.5$GeV for π^- production and 0.65 GeV for π^0 production.
- Pion production from hyperon excitation when folded with the spectra of T2K or atmospheric antineutrino fluxes found to be significant.
- These results may have important applications in the analysis of MicroBooNE, MINERνA, ICARUS and SBND experiments.
- Polarization observables $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ are quite sensitive to the value of M_A for $Q^2 > 0.4$ GeV^2 and M_A may be measured independent of σ.
- Q^2 dependence of cross sections and polarization components are found to be sensitive to the $G_E^n(Q^2)$ especially for Σ^-.
- $P_L(Q^2)$ and $P_P(Q^2)$ are sensitive to the pseudoscalar form factor at low $E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}$.

References

[1] M. Rafi. Alam, M. Sajjad Athar, S. Chauhan and S. K. Singh, J. Phys. G **42**, 055107 (2015); Phys. Rev. D **88**, 077301 (2013).

[2] S. K. Singh and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 053009 (2006).
[3] F. Akbar, M. Rafi Alam, M. Sajjad Athar and S. K. Singh, arXiv:1608.02103 [hep-ph].
[4] M. Sajjad Athar, S. Ahmad, and S. K. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 093003 (2007).
[5] http://www.fnal.gov/
[6] http://j-parc.jp/index-e.html
[7] H. Chen et al. [MicroBooNE Collaboration], FERMILAB-PROPOSAL-0974.
[8] A. M. Szelc [SBND Collaboration], JINST **11**, no. 02, C02018 (2016).
[9] R. Acciarri et al. [DUNE Collaboration], for DUNE at LBNF," arXiv:1512.06148 [physics.ins-det].
[10] C. Wilkinson et al., Phys. Rev. D **93**, 072010 (2016).
[11] S. M. Bilenky and E. Christova, J. Phys. G **40**, 075004 (2013); Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. **10**, 651 (2013).
[12] A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al. (MiniBooNE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **88**, 032001 (2013).
[13] M. Honda et al. Phys. Rev. D **75**, 043006 (2007).
[14] R. E. Marshak, Riazuddin and C. P. Ryan, Theory of Weak Interactions in Particle Physics, Wiley-Interscience, 1969.
[15] Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **4**, 380 (1960).
[16] R. Bradford et al., Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **159**, 127 (2006).
[17] S. Galster et al., Nucl. Phys. B **32**, 221 (1971).
[18] S. Platchkov et al., Nucl. Phys. A **510**, 740 (1990).
[19] V. Punjabi et al. Eur. Phys. J. A **51**, 79 (2015).